kammy@shinc.co.za kammy@shinc.co.za kammy@shinc.co.za kammy@shinc.co.za kobus@werksmans.com higacobs@werksmans.com higacobs@werksmans.com <a href="mailto:kobus@mailto:kobus@

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Nov 29, 2022 at 10:13 AM

Subject: Unopposed Motions 5 December 2022 before DS Fourie J

To: PTA Bar <<u>ptabar@law.co.za</u>>, Jhb bar <<u>jhbbar@law.co.za</u>>, <<u>jhbadmin@law.co.za</u>>, Pta Bar 2

<motionspretoria@gmail.com>

Good day,

Attached the Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions and roll before Judge Fourie on 5 December 2022.

Kindly circulated and upload the Notice and Roll on the relevant platforms and chambers.

Your assistance in this regard will be greatly appreciated!

Regards Sonya Gillissen



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA

NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS FOURIE ON 5 December 2022.

Secretary: Tsepang Mpuri

Tel.: 012 - 492 6772

Email: TsMpuru@judiciary.org.za / TsMpuru@gmail.com

- The proceedings will be conducted in open court (court 6E) starting at 10:00am.
- 2. A <u>complete Practice Note</u>, stating the <u>nature of the application</u> for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be <u>filed on Caselines</u> setting out at least the following:
 - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
 - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
 - 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
 - 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the section and page number;

- 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
- 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with <u>all those</u> requirements must be <u>clearly stated</u> and then <u>also identified</u> in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;
- 2.7 If any Rule, Regulation or Statute is applicable it must be stated and compliance therewith be identified in the papers by reference to the section, page number and paragraph;
- 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
- 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
- If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
- 4. Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, may result in the application be struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.
- In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck of the roll.

- A proper and complete Draft Order, indicating the date and particulars of the Judge, (<u>using MS Word</u> enabling variation thereof) must be <u>filed on</u> <u>Caselines</u> for the court to consider.
- 7. The Practice Note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable) must be filed by no later than 9:00am on Friday 2 December 2022, failing which the application will be struck off the roll. Filing must be done on CASELINES ONLY (and NOT by email to Me T Mpuru).
- 8. It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the latest at 9:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge to read the papers. Late filing or updates will not be accepted.
- In divorce matters the plaintiff must file an affidavit on the merits and the attorney an affidavit on the authenticity of the marriage certificate.
- 10. A failure to comply fully with this notice may result in the matter be struck off the roll.

DS FOURIE

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

PRETORIA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA) (UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)

05 DECEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE FOURIE J

1.	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	M M MOGADIME	007717/22
2.	CHANGING TIDES	VS	J R SHABANGU	017048/22
3.	EX PARTE: I VAN DER MERWE			024158/22
4.	EX PARTE: J J RADEMEYER		ą	026653/22
5.	L LEGRANGE	VS	J A VAN DER WESTHUIZEN	026818/22
6.	EX PARTE: L LANDDON			026369/22
7.	EX PARTE: E RAUTENBACH			17890/22
8.	FACTORY PACE LTD	VS	AUCOR TSHWANE LTD	22679/21

9. TR HLONGWANE	VS	M J RAKOMA	62433/21
10. SB GUARANTEE	VS	T P MKHWANAZI+1	11943/22
11. STD BANK LTD	VS	N T MABUNDA	41494/21
12. STD BANK LTD	VS	C B MEITNTJIES	15370/22
13. S H NKOSI	VS	ABSA BANK LTD	53195/19
14. SB GUARANTEE	VS	Z M BATASH	11880/22
15. TOYOTA FINANCIAL	VS	LETS RIDE TRAVEL	53550/20
16. WESBANK LTD	VS	M S MALEFANE	75713/19
17. FNB BANK LTD	VS	T S MOLAPO+1	86075/16
18. EX PARTE: J W VAN ZYL			028763/22
19. P LOSELO	VS	A B SINGH	36614/20
20. JINDAL MINING SA+2	VS	S RAMPHAUL+7	48783/18

21. K JONGWE		VS	MIN OF POLICE	62564/20
22. EX PARTE: N CONSTAN	TINOU			023023/22
23. L DE SOUSA		VS	G LA GRANGE	35581/22
24. TOYOTA FINANCIAL		VS	I I SIHLANGU	43399/21
25. FNB BANK LTD		VS	K B KUBEKA+1	7478/22
26. L N MASHILO		VS	K K CHIPA+1	7160/22
27. EVERSURE TRADE		VS	NKOSISIKILE CONSTRUCTION CC	62163/21
28. J H LAAS		VS	COMPESATION COMMISSIONER	55461/21
29. M N NENGWEKHULU		VS	MIN OF POLICE	1966/22
30. EX PARTE: U N BASSARE	BOLIEV			029813/22
31. DEETLEFS BOTTLINGS	VS	SHUM	AYELA HOLDINGS (NO CASE FILTER	006717/22
32. FNB BANK LTD	VS	X HLUI	NGWANI (NO CASE FILTER	017003/22

33. HYDROTECH INFRA	VS	RENEC DEVELOPMENT(no case filter	021330/22
34. JAM AUCTIONS	VS	J J PRINSLOO(NO DOCUMENT	026362/22

05 DECEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE VAN DER SCHYFF J

35. EX PARTE: M C JOUBERT			025753/22
36. SB GUARANTEE	VS	DIKUTLWAYO TRADING	010083/22
37. SB GUARANTEE	VS	M V MONYEKGOPE	009536/22
38. EX PARTE: S C BANTJES			025990/22
39. HIGHLAND GATE ASS	VS	FINE ASSET INVESTMENT	026717/22
40. STD BANK LTD	VS	M E MAKINTA	30869/22
41. EX PARTE: T D FERREIA			012645/22
42. B/C OF COLLEGE HOUSE	VS	P J FOURIE+2	49442/19
43. EX PARTE: S R RABE+1			026027/22
44. SB GUARANTEE	VS	R MALEMA+4	6813/22
45. G MAKAYI	VS	T MADZOKERE	11800/21

46. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	M M KEKANA	2853/22
47. STD BANK LTD	VS	R MASHABA+2	32897/22
48. MERCEDES-BENZ	VS	L E THOBEJANE	16432/19
49. W J JIYANA	VS	MASTER OF COURT	38469/22
50. JI VAN NIEKERK INC	VS	CITY OF TSHWANE+1	13984/22
51. B/C OF NORMANDY	vs	L M LETSEBE	29004/19
52. ITHEMBA PROPERTY+1	VS	N L MOTAU+5	47511/21
53. K NKOSI	VS	MIN OF POLICE+1	50937/20
54. STD BANK LTD	VS	R ASSEGAAI	8760/21
55. STD BANK LTD	VS	M P MOGARI+1	35198/22
56. STD BANK LTD	VS	DONE&DUSTED TRADING+1	23792/20
57. J M MORARE	VS	P M MORARE+1	60586/11

58. C W TRIELOFF		VS	AGT PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT	66101/20
59. S M MORAKE		VS	I I MORAKE+1	43502/20
60. STD BANK LTD		VS	M D MOSEJE	30488/21
61. ABSA BANK LTD		VS	L LEGODI	19558/21
62. EX PARTE: J P WOOLLE	Y+1			028761/22
63. STD BANK LTD		VS	D B MBOKANE	50554/21
64. EX PARTE: H O MULLER	Ĺ		5	017491/22
65. JAM AUCTIONS	VS	J J PRII	NSLOO(NO DOCUMENT	026515/22
66. MARE ATTORNEYS	VS	EXCILI	TE 454 CC(NO DOCUMENT	025807/22
67. NEDBANK LTD	VS	ТКМ	DLOI (NO DOCUMENT	012987/22
68. SB GUARANTEE	VS	DIKUT	LWANO TRADING(NO CASE FILTER	006096/22
69. KANSAI PLASCON	VS	TSHIRE	ELETSO TRAFFICEC (NO CASE FILTER	027814/22

05 DECEMBER 2022

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE STRIJDOM AJ

70. WOOD@EASE	VS	SHOPFITTING STUDIO	023283/22
71. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	H S JOOSTE	004687/22
72. MANTLE ATTORNEYS	VS	GREEN ACRE CONSTRUCTION	024177/22
73. FNB BANK LTD	VS	M S MAMPURU	006364/22
74. EX PARTE: J KRUGER+1			025988/22
75. M M TLATSANA	VS	MIN OF DEFENCE	019717/22
76. EX PARTE: T VON STRAATE			045204/22
77. CHANGING TIDES	VS	A G PALMER	38119/22
78. FNB BANK LTD	VS	J G LOMBARD+1	008107/22
79. EX PARTE: J FOURIE			044537/22
80. U A GOUWS	VS	E NAIDOO	033464/22

81. EX PARTE: M C FOURIE			044989/22
82. J MITCHELL	VS	J C BASSON+9	31133/22
83. NDPP	VS	S M NJEMA	50796/21
84. KUBEKA ORGANIZATION	VS	BASOTHO MINING LTD	37044/22
85. T A TSIE	VS	L K TSIE+1	026010/22
86. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	P J PRETORIUS+1	23092/20
87. EX PARTE: J MAKHUBELA			025637/22
88. TOYOTA FINANCIAL	VS	MADIBENG MUNICIPALITY	32619/21
89. STD BANK LTD	VS	T E MOKOENA+1	3867/22
90. FNB BANK LTD	VS	M P MASHISHI+1	14471/22
91. V T CHATUE	VS	MIN OF HOME AFFAIRS+2	45081/21
92. E M DHLAMINI	VS	MIN OF POLICE	55214/20

93. J T OLIFANT	VS	NHFC LTD+4	35156/20	
94. NDPP			6435/22	
95. EX PARTE: S J DU TOIT+1			36096/22	
96. SB GUARANTEE	VS	P L SIBUYA	33964/22	
97. STD BANK LTD	VS	P DUBE	2548/22	
98. SB GUARANTEE	VS	H R DAVIDS	17696/21	
99. W XABAKASHE	VS	MIN OF POLICE	1972/22	
100. W H FERREIRA VS	MEN	MALEMA (NO DOCUMENT	030022/22	
101. FNB BANK LTD VS	PLM	OLEYA	008321/22	
102. EX PARTE:	(NO E	OCUMENT	025981/22	
103. SB GUARANTEE VS	EQLI	EDWABA (NO CASE FILTER	006332/22	
104. EX PARTE: S ROBERTS	(NO C	(NO CASE FILTER		